

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Clay _____ District FY06 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
 - a. Erosion (Wind and Water)
 - b. Water Quality (Surface)
 - c. Impaired Water Bodies
 - d. Overland Flooding
 - e. Gully Erosion
 - f. Ground Water (Well Head Protection)
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

None

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	1	10
A2 Gully Control	2	10
B1 Water Resource	3	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	8	5
C Habitat Improvement	10	2
D Air Quality	9	6
E Impaired Water	4	10
F Distance	6	8
G Grazing System	7	7
H Forest Mgt.	11	1
Additional Local*	5	10

- If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Additional points will be given to practices with a lifespan of 11 years or greater which will be determined on the EQIP cost-sharing docket.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

None

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Sharon Lean

10/17/05

Chair, Local Work Group

Date